SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, JUNE 21, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA AMENDED*

S176943 A122723 First Appellate District, Div. 5

FRANCHISE TAX BOARD v. S.C. (GONZALES)

Time extended to consider modification or rehearing

The time for granting or denying rehearing in the above-entitled case is hereby extended to September 6, 2011, or the date upon which rehearing is either granted or denied, whichever occurs first.

S029843

PEOPLE v. BECK (JAMES DAVID) & CRUZ (GERALD DEAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Andrew Parnes's representation that he anticipates filing appellant James David Beck's reply brief by December 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 17, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 110 additional days are contemplated.

S102166

PEOPLE v. SIMON (RICHARD NATHAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Kimberly J. Grove's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by September 17, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to August 16, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S104665

PEOPLE v. POORE (CHRISTOPHER ERIC)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to August 8, 2011.

S190647 B217709/B221833 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 PEOPLE v. CABALLERO (RODRIGO)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to July 11, 2011.

*S193936

KIM (JAE YUNG) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.